



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#6

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. Box 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

**MAILED**

**DEC 4 2003**

**Office of the Director  
Group 3600**

Paper No. 6

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP-DENVER  
SUITE 4700  
370 SEVENTEENTH STREET  
DENVER, CO 80202-5647

In re application of:  
Kevin Liga, et al.

Application No. 10/074,530

Filed: February 11, 2002

For: COMMUNICATING AND DISPLAYING :  
AN ADVERTISEMENT USING A :  
PERSONAL VIDEO RECORDER :

**DECISION ON REQUEST  
FOR WITHDRAWAL OF  
ATTORNEY**

This is a decision on the request filed on October 28, 2003, under 37 CFR 1.36 and MPEP 402.06, requesting permission to withdraw as the attorney of record in the above-identified application.


The request is **NOT APPROVED**.

Under 37 CFR 1.36 an attorney may withdraw only upon application to and approval by the Commissioner. It should be noted that a withdrawal is effective when approved, not when filed. Besides giving due notice to his or her client and delivering to the client all papers and property to which the client is entitled as specified under 37 CFR 10.40, approval of such a request requires that the following conditions be met:

- A) Each attorney of record must sign the notice of withdrawal, or the notice must contain a clear indication of one attorney signing on behalf of another, because the Office does not recognize law firms;
- B) A proper reason for the withdrawal as enumerated in 37 CFR 10.40(b) or subsection (1)-(6) of 37 CFR 10.40(c) must be provided; and
- C) If withdrawal is requested in accordance with 37 CFR 10.40(c) above, there must be at least 30 days between approval of the withdrawal and the later of the expiration date of a time period for reply or the expiration date of the period which can be obtained by a petition and fee for extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The request to withdraw as attorney in the above-identified application is not approved because the request does not comply with Item B) above.

As to Item B), there is no indication in the file record of the assignee being the "client", and responsible for payment of legal fees. Absent a 3.73(b) statement, the "client" referred to in 37 CFR 10.40 is seen as being those individuals who conferred power upon the attorneys, in this case the inventors. Therefore, the stated reason for withdrawal that "the Assignee for this patent application has requested transfer of the files." is improper. A proper reason for withdrawal as enumerated in 37 CFR 10.40(b) subsections (1)-(4) or subsections (1)-(6) of 37 CFR 10.40(c) must be provided.



---

Kenneth J. Dorner  
Special Programs Examiner  
Patent Technology Center 3600  
(703) 308-0866

KJD/cps: 11/25/03